

# **Title I Equitable Services**

# MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TITLE I COORDINATORS' MEETING

April 2010

## **TITLE I EQUITABLE SERVICES**

#### We're #1!



# **TOPICS POSED**

- Timely and meaningful consultation
- MOUs versus Third Party Contracts/ Who is responsible for what
- How services are provided and by whom
- Documentation (how and when to generate the list of address and academically eligible students, making revisions to the program, etc.
- Compliance issues (using HQ teachers, acceptable purchases instruction, parent involvement, administration), start and end of services
- Consulting with neighboring LEAs

# **TIMELY AND MEANINGFUL CONSULTATION**



### When is consultation meaningful?





# **TIMELY CONSULTATION**

At the time of the monitoring review (February), LEA staff discussed how the Title I ARRA funds are being used in the public schools. However, LEA staff had not yet discussed this information with private school officials.









Consult to determine selection criteria.

Obtain list of students who meet criteria.

Determine children most at risk.

Consult with officials to design services to meet participants' needs using estimated amounts for instruction, professional development and parent involvement.

Define annual progress for evaluation.



Assess the achievement of current year's program using standards agreed to.

Share results with officials. Discuss whether/what modifications may need to be made to program.

Determination in consultation with officials the professional development and parent involvement needs of private school teachers and families of participants.

Design activities for private school teachers and families of participants.

Discuss tentative design of instructional, professional development and parental involvement activities.



**Obtain written affirmation from officials.** 

Complete all contract negotiations, if needed.

Generate a list of students to be served.

Report on readiness of program.

# **MEANINGFUL CONSULTATION**

LEA officials told private school officials that, since a contractor would be providing the Title I services, the LEA was required to use the contractor's test for evaluating the program.





### WHAT IS MEANINFUL CONSULTATION?

**ALL REQUIRED TOPICS DISCUSSED** 

How CHILDREN'S NEEDS WILL BE IDENTIFIED.

**SELECTION CRITERIA.** 

**SERVICES TO BE OFFERED.** 

HOW AND WHEN DECISIONS ABOUT DELIVERY OF SERVICES WILL BE MADE.

### WHAT IS MEANINFUL CONSULTATION?

#### **ALL REQUIRED TOPICS DISCUSSED**

METHOD AND SOURCE OF DATA THAT LEA WILL USE TO DETERMINE # OF LOW-INCOME CHILDREN.

How services assessed and IMPROVED.

SIZE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES.

**CONTRACT?** 

### WHAT IS MEANINFUL CONSULTATION?

ALL REQUIRED TOPICS DISCUSSED

**PROPORTION OF FUNDS ALLOCATED.** 

**PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES FOR CLASSROOM TEACHERS OF PARTICIPANTS.** 

ACTIVITIES FOR PARENTS OF PARTICIPANTS.

# **MEANINGFUL CONSULTATION**



Genuine opportunity for all parties to express their views and to have those views heard.



## **MOU AND THIRD PARTY CONTRACTS**

Who is responsible for what?



#### SERVING PRIVATE SCHOOL CHILDREN WHO ATTEND A PRIVATE SCHOOL IN ANOTHER LEA

Section 200.62(b)(1)(i) of the Title I regulations defines Title I eligible private school children as those who reside in participating public school attendance areas of the LEA, regardless of whether the private school they attend is located in the LEA. Thus, the LEA in which the child resides is responsible for providing services to the child, but it may arrange to have services provided by another LEA and reimburse that LEA for costs.

ABC County may ask that 123 County provide Title I services to eligible children who reside in ABC County but attend a private school in 123 County.

ABC County and 123 County *may* have an MOU that transfers all responsibilities of section 1120 providing equitable services to the 123 County, the LEA providing the services.

ABC County must transfer to 123 County the amount of Title I funding generated by low-income children living in Title I participating school attendance in ABC County but attending a private school in 123 County.

This would include per-pupil amounts from Title I schools' allocations as well as the proportionate share of applicable districtwide reservations.



#### **Responsibilities**

**ABC County** - Must consult with private school officials about all topics that deal with the funding:

- How and when decisions about delivery of services will be made.
- Method and source of data that LEA will use to determine # of low-income children.
- Proportion of funds allocated.

#### **Responsibilities**

**123 County -** Must consult with private school officials about all topics that deal with the services:

- How and when decisions about delivery of services will be made.
- How children's needs will be identified.
- Selection criteria.
- Services to be offered.

#### **Responsibilities**

**123 County** - Must consult with private school officials about all topics that deal with the services:

- How services assessed and improved.
- Contract?
- Professional development activities for classroom teachers of participants.
- Activities for parents of participants.



# **ESEA Requirements**

Section 9306(a) of ESEA requires that :

- The program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations; and
- The subgrantee will maintain control of the program.

# **GEPA Requirements**

Section 443(a) of GEPA requires subrecipients to maintain records that fully disclose:

- How the funds were used;
- Total cost of the activity for which the funds were used; and
- Other records that will facilitate an effective audit.

### Ultimately, the LEA is responsible for ensuring that the Title I program is compliant.





- The contractor provided professional development activities through an on-line system. Private school teachers select activities in which they wish to participate.
- The contractor provided services to Title I children in the regular private school classrooms.
- The LEA used the contractor's evaluation because the contractor told the LEA that it must use it.

- The contractor provided invoices that had not broken out administrative, instructional, professional development and family involvement costs.
- The contractor allowed private school teachers to use the equipment, materials and supplies purchased with Title I funds when the contractor was not using them.
- The LEA had done a sole source contract in violation of the State's procurement policies.

# DOCUMENTATION THAT SHOULD BE MAINTAINED BY THE LEA







# DOCUMENTATION

- List of addresses for low-income children generating funds.
- Low-income data from private schools.
- List of participating children.
- Documentation of eligibility.
- Back up evidence for invoices.
- Professional development and parent involvement activities.

# DOCUMENTATION

- Inventory of equipment paid for by Title I.
- Agendas (Consultation).
- Affirmation forms.
- Evaluation.
- Anything else that is needed for an audit.

# PROBLEMS WE HAVE ENCOUNTERED THIS YEAR ...

- No consultation before ARRA decisions made.
- Whiteboards purchased and installed in all private school classrooms.
- Title I funds used to purchase books for library.
- Title I funded software placed on computers owned by the private school.
- No evaluation just pre and post tests.
- Team teaching in private school classrooms.

More Info This Afternoon

# FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Nola Cromer nola.cromer@ed.gov (202) 205-4158

Virginia Berg Virginia.berg@ed.gov (202) 260-0926