Achieving Equity in Teacher and Principal Distribution

Summary

To enable State officials, parents, the Department of Education, local educators and other key stakeholders to measure States' progress towards improving teacher effectiveness and achieving equity in the distribution of teachers and principals, States will need to collect, publish, and analyze basic information about how districts evaluate teacher and principal effectiveness and distribute their highly qualified and effective teachers among schools. The objective is to highlight inequities that result in low-income and minority students being taught by inexperienced, unqualified, out-of-field or ineffective teachers at higher rates than other students. Similarly, because principals play a critical role in teaching and learning, it is important to highlight inequities that result in low-income and minority students being taught in schools overseen by ineffective principals at higher rates than other students.

General Instructions:

In this section, as appropriate, please update the information that was submitted as part of the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA) supplement to the Bridge to Excellence Master Plan Guidance. in December 2009. You should use the December 2009 report as a starting point and update as needed.

Citation	Description	Rationale
Descriptor	Describe, for each local education	Teacher evaluation systems should
(a)(1)	agency (LEA) in the State, the	reflect a comprehensive review of the
	systems used to evaluate the	established criteria and are an
	performance of teachers and the use	important information source for
	of results from those systems in	assessing the distribution of effective
	decisions regarding teacher	teachers.
	development, compensation,	
	promotion, retention, and removal.	

Directions

Include the following information on the local school system's designated website reporting the evaluation systems of teachers. The description of the teacher evaluation system must explain how evaluation results are used in decisions regarding each of the following: teacher professional development, compensation, promotion, retention and removal. If this information has already been included and updated on your school system's website, please indicate so below and provide the link.

1. Please provide the link to this information on the school system's designated website below:

cl.k12.md.us/depts./INS/ARRAreporting.html

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator	Indicate, for each LEA in the State,	Evaluation systems that include student
(a)(3)	whether the systems used to evaluate	achievement outcomes yield reliable
	the performance of teachers include	assessments of teacher performance.
	student achievement outcomes or	Knowing if an evaluation system
	student growth as an evaluation	includes these outcomes informs the
	criterion.	value of teacher performance ratings.

Directions:

- 1. Do your evaluation systems include achievement outcomes or student growth? (Mark "Yes" or "No")
 - a. _X_Yes, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.
 - b. If Yes, please respond (check one):
 - _X_ Student achievement outcomes are included as an evaluation criterion.

 Student growth is included as an evaluation criterion.
 - c. _____No, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers do not include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator	Provide, for each LEA in the State	Ratings from teacher evaluation
(a)(4)	whose teachers receive performance	systems further highlight the strengths
	ratings or levels through an	and weaknesses of those systems and
	evaluation system, the number and	provide valuable information on the
	percentage (including numerator and	distribution of effective teachers across
	denominator) of teachers rated at	districts.
	each performance rating or level.	

Directions:

1. Complete the table below by listing each of the rating or performance levels in the LEA's performance evaluation systems, and the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level.

Performance Rating or		Percentage of
Level	Number of Teachers	Teachers
Met Standard	457	99.34%
Below Standard	3	<1%
	Total: 460	

2. Provide the link to this information on the school system's designated website below: cl.k12.md.us/depts./INS/ARRAreporting.hmtl

3. If the LEA does not currently publicly report this data, please list the major action steps that you will take to make this information publicly available by 6/30/11. Update the Action Steps Table (below) as appropriate to reflect progress to date.

Action Steps	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date
N/A		

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator	Indicate, for each LEA in the State	To the extent information on the
(a)(5)	whose teachers receive	distribution of teacher performance
	performance ratings or levels	ratings is readily accessible by school,
	through an evaluation system,	State officials, parents and other key
	whether the number and percentage	stakeholders can identify and address
	(including numerator and	inequities in the distribution of effective
	denominator) of teachers rated at	teachers on an ongoing basis.
	each performance rating or level are	
	publicly reported for each school in	
	the LEA.	

Directions:

1.	Is the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level publicly
	reported for each school in the LEA? Mark "Yes" or "No".

1.	Yes, the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or
	level are publicly reported for each school in the LEA.

- b. Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website below:
- c. __X__No, the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level are not publicly reported for each school in the LEA.
- 2. If the LEA does not currently publicly report these data, please list the major action steps that you will take to publicly report this information by 6/30/11. Update the Action Steps Table (below) as appropriate to reflect progress to date.

Action Steps	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date
Information will be reported on the website	Tina Brown	6/30/11

Citation	Description	Rationale
Descriptor	Describe, for each LEA in the State,	Principal evaluation systems should
(a)(2)	the systems used to evaluate the	reflect a comprehensive review of the
	performance of principals and the	established criteria and are an important
	use of results from those systems in	information source for assessing the
	decisions regarding principal	distribution of effective principals.
	development, compensation,	
	promotion, retention, and removal.	

Directions:

Include the following information on the local school system's designated website reporting the evaluation systems of principals. The description of the principal evaluation system must explain how evaluation results are used in decisions regarding each of the following: principal professional development, compensation, promotion, retention and removal. If this information has already been included and updated on your school system's website, please indicate so below and provide the link.

1. Provide the link to this information on the school system's designated website below:

http://cl.k12.md.us/depts/INS/ARRAreporting.html

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator	Indicate, for each LEA in the State,	Evaluation systems that include student
(a)(6)	whether the systems used to	achievement outcomes yield reliable
	evaluate the performance of	assessments of teacher performance.
	principals include student	Knowing if an evaluation system
	achievement outcomes or student	includes these outcomes informs the
	growth data as an evaluation	value of teacher performance ratings.
	criterion.	_

Directions:

- 1. Do the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion? (Mark "Yes" or "No")
 - a. _X_Yes, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.
 - b. If Yes, please respond (check one):

 __X_ Student achievement outcomes are included as an evaluation criterion.

 ___ Student growth is included as an evaluation criterion.
 c. ___ No, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals do not include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator	Provide, for each LEA in the State	Ratings from principal evaluation
(a)(7)	whose principals receive	systems further highlight the strengths
	performance ratings or levels	and weaknesses of those systems and
	through an evaluation system, the	provide valuable information on the
	number and percentage (including	distribution of effective principals across
	numerator and denominator) of	districts.
	principals rated at each	
	performance rating or level.	

Directions:

1. Complete the table below by listing each of the rating or performance levels in the LEA's performance evaluation systems, and the number and percentage of principals rated at each performance rating or level.

Performance Rating or Level	Number of Principals	Percentage of Principals
Met Standard Below Standard	0	100%
	Total: 10	

- 2. Please provide the link to this information on the school system's designated website below:
 - cl.k12.md.us/depts./INS/ARRAreporting.html
- 3. If the LEA does not currently publicly report this data, please list the major action steps that you will take to make this information publicly available by 6/30/11. Update the Action Steps Table (below) as appropriate to reflect progress to date.

Action Steps	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date
N/A		

Facilities to Support Master Plan Strategies

A. Overall Facilities Plan:

Total elementary school capacity utilization is projected to be at or above 100 percent beginning in 2010 and rise to 123 percent by 2019. For this reason we have begun the process of site selection for a new school to help with the increasing enrollment. Most of this enrollment growth is at three of our five elementary schools and the proposed new school is planned to be an intermediate school and draw 4th and 5th grade students from each of the three existing elementary schools. The remaining enrollment growth is at one of the other elementary schools, which is scheduled for a complete renovation and expansion within the next few years.

There have not been any changes to the Board of Education goals, objectives, or implementation strategies that will impact facility needs.

B. Full or Half-Day Pre-Kindergarten Programs

It should be noted that Caroline County Public Schools has maintained early learning programs since the early 1980's. In addition, we were one of the first school systems in the State to implement full-day kindergarten in all five of our elementary schools.

Early learning facilities for Pre-Kindergarten and Kindergarten have been a priority for a number of years with the facilities to accommodate them having been constructed or planned throughout this long history.

As explained above in section A, because of the high enrollment numbers at our elementary schools, adding Pre-K classrooms will almost always involve the addition of a relocatable classroom. This does not mean that the Pre-K program will be located in the relocatable, but simply that there is no additional space within the existing building envelope for new classrooms.

A new Pre-K program will be added at Greensboro Elementary School for the 2010-2011 school year. All of our Pre-K programs are half-day.