

Center for Educator Compensation Reform

## Implementation Checklist

*Guide to Implementation: Resources for Applied Practice* 

## Educator Compensation Reform Implementation Checklist

The planning and implementation of a performance award program is a complex endeavor, involving many moving parts and relying upon the expertise of individuals from across the full spectrum of organizational departments in a school or district. While visible and consistent leadership is a critical factor to successful planning and implementation efforts, no individual can realistically have the resources or capacity to complete each activity on this checklist. In order for each item on the checklist to be marked in the affirmative, some action must be taken-action that sometimes is not under the direct guidance of, or undertaken by, the person filling out the checklist. Therefore, it is important for TIF project directors, or performance-pay program leaders, to assemble teams of experts with specialized skills across the spectrum of topics to share responsibility for actively considering and acting on each checklist item. A distributed leadership model and team-oriented approach will facilitate stakeholder engagement, help the program develop broad-based institutional support, and increase the likelihood that all of the diverse domains of activity articulated on the checklist are adequately addressed.

This checklist identifies major components of an alternative educator compensation plan and the planning, design, and implementation steps that ideally should be followed. Before launching a new compensation plan at the school or district level, we recommend that schools, districts, and states use this checklist to discuss and decide the following for the performance-pay program:

- Who will be involved?
- How will decisions be made?
- What measurement standards and compensation arrangements will be acceptable?
- From where will necessary resources be obtained?
- When will major project milestones be completed?
- How will formative evaluations take place?



A. Overall Plan Components	Yes	No	NA
I. Have you identified a project director who is willing to serve in such a capacity for multiple school years to ensure program development continuity?			
2. Does the designated project director possess sufficient authority, or at least easy and quick access to authority, to make decisions related to budgets, personnel, and processes of associated departments?			
3. Are individuals at upper levels of district or institutional leadership informed, engaged, and committed?			
4. Have you created a representative compensation reform committee to ensure neces- sary collaboration with and support from stakeholders?			
5. Have you approached this program as part of a comprehensive human capital develop- ment strategy and in alignment with core district and school instructional goals?			
6. Have you identified several valid and reliable measures to use to assess performance of all teachers and others to be included in the program?			
7. Have you tested all proposed measures of teacher performance to ensure that they meet the potentially more rigorous requirements of a performance incentive system?			
8. Have you agreed upon type (individual, team/group, whole school) and size of performance awards?			
9. Have you determined the amount the new compensation plan will cost per year and over the life of the intended program?			
10. Have you identified sources of funding and secured necessary commitments to promote a sustainable program?			
II. Do you have sufficient capacity in the data infrastructure, assessment, and testing systems to implement and evaluate a compensation plan?			
12. Have you planned or anticipated changes to the data infrastructure (such as a new student or HR system), the assessments given (such as a change of test date or vendor), or subjects covered that might require changes in the compensation plan or schedule?			
13. Are all relevant organizational components aligned, such as Human Resources, Payroll, and Testing?			
14. Have you developed an evaluation design that will provide comprehensive information regarding key goals of the compensation system?			
15. Have project staff and leadership agreed to incorporate feedback from the evaluation and to undertake midcourse corrections?			
16. Have you established benchmarks to assess project progress over the near-, middle-, and long-term?			
17. Have you formulated a comprehensive communication plan for all internal and external stakeholders, including the media?			
18. Has your program linked the proposed compensation program, targeted professional development, and support structures to each proposed goal in the program?			



A. Overall Plan Components (cont'd.)	Yes	No	NA
19. Will the data collected for your performance compensation program be used to inform professional development decisions?			
20. Will principals be included in your performance compensation program?			
a. If principals are excluded, has your rationale been clearly explained to school administrators?			
21. Are your PBCS incentive levels based on best practices or relevant research?			

в.	Stakeholder Approvals and Engagement	Yes	Νο	NA
١.	Have you assembled a compensation committee that includes school district officials as well as teachers and others (e.g., principals) whose salaries will be affected by the new plan?			
2.	Have you invited individuals and groups such as the following to serve on the compen- sation reform committee so that they are active participants in discussions, planning, and decisions from the beginning?			
	a. Superintendent			
	b. Teachers' union/association representatives			
	c. A representative group of teachers included in the plan			
	d. Principals			
	e. Other central office personnel (assessment, HR, research, student services)			
	f. School board members			
	g. Other community representatives			
	h. State or municipal officials, if necessary			
	i. Other:			
3.	Have there been key changes in district leadership since the implementation of the compensation plan/committee?			



C. Communication Plan	Yes	No	NA
I. Have you developed a communication plan that clearly explains to teachers, principals, and others who might be affected by the performance-pay plan the criteria that will be used to determine eligibility for a performance award and what they must do to earn one?			
2. Have you developed a communication plan that clearly explains to teachers and principals which schools are defined as high need and hard to staff?			
3. Have you developed materials that clearly explain professional development opportu- nities for teachers and principals desiring to improve their performance so that they can earn a performance award?			
4. As part of the communications plan, have you developed materials specifically for parents, students, and community members that explain the manner in which the new compensation plan will operate and why the school or district is implementing it?			
5. Does your communication plan include steps for informing district-level leadership across the range of departments likely to be involved in some aspect of the compensation plan in general and the pertinent components in detail?			
6. Does your communication plan include information on how value-added results measure school and/or teacher performance?			
7. Does your communication plan include multiple means for distributing information to educators and the public (e.g., brochures, pamphlets, newsletters, town meetings, email alerts, and an updated website)?			
8. Have you established a formal process for teachers, principals, and other stakeholders to ask questions and raise concerns?			
9. Does your communication plan include alternative means by which educators can gather information quickly and easily (e.g., confidential hotline, convenient after-school drop-in sessions, trained individuals at each school site who can answer questions)?			
10. Does your communication strategy specifically and forcefully address the media (e.g., do you have a plan in place to respond to Freedom of Information requests – both with internal and external constituents)?			
11. Does your communication plan include strategies to sustain the new compensation plan by building support for it among relevant policymakers, the business community, foundations, the public, and other key stakeholders?			
12. Does your proposed new communication plan include targeted activities related to key events in the life cycle of the plan (e.g., program kickoff, specific measures of performance, the payout)?			
13. Do you have a comprehensive communication plan for data quality? A comprehensive data quality communication plan would document how you know that the various data used for collecting and calculating student/teacher performance are accurate, reliable, and valid.			



D. Award Structure	Yes	No	NA
I. Have you decided which and how many educator positions will be included (e.g., all classroom teachers, only teachers of core academic subjects, paraprofessionals as well as teachers, assistant principals as well as principals)?			
2. What type of monetary incentive(s) will be provided?			
a. Addition to a principal or teacher's base salary			
b. Bonus			
c. Other			
3. Have you decided who will receive awards, such as individuals, groups, or both?			
4. If you intend to reward groups of teachers, have you determined which groups (e.g., all teachers in the school, all math teachers in the school, all 4th-grade math teachers in the school)?			
5. If you intend to reward all teachers or groups of teachers, have you determined how the performance of those who teach non-tested subjects and grades (e.g., preschool, art, music, physical education, 5th-grade science) will be appraised?			
6. Has the proposed compensation plan excluded any individuals or groups? If so, is there a clear justification that explains why?			
7. Do you fully understand the financial implications of individual and group awards to be offered?			
8. Does your compensation plan include any noncash awards (e.g., housing incentives, tuition assistance, tax incentives, additional credit toward retirement)?			
9. Have you decided whether the new compensation plan will be voluntary or mandatory?			
10. Have you decided whether the new compensation plan can be phased in as new teachers are hired or will it transfer all teachers to the new plan at the same time?			
II. Will current teachers be allowed to opt out if they want to remain under the present pay plan?			
12. Have you included any competitive elements regarding how performance targets are established (e.g., average growth of student achievement in math is in top quartile of participating teachers)?			
13. Will the award structure be directly linked to desired teacher behaviors and student outcomes?			
14. Will you provide incentives for teachers and principals working in high-need schools?			
15. Will schools be deemed high need based on student demographic and performance outcomes?			



D. Award Structure (cont'd.)	Yes	No	NA
16. What type of monetary incentive will be provided for teachers and principals in high- need schools?			
a. Addition to base salary			
b. Bonus			
c. Other			
17. What is the purpose of the high-need school incentive portion of your program?			
a. Hiring			
b. Retention			
c. Unknown			



E. Financial Sustainability	Yes	No	NA
I. Have you identified revenue sources to pay for the new compensation plan?			
2. Have you calculated the maximum cost of the new compensation plan year by year?			
3. Have you decided the frequency and timing of awards (e.g., one-time bonus; perma- nent increase to base salary; premium for teachers of hard-to-fill subjects in addition to their regular salary; in-kind payment made in the form of goods and services, rather than cash)?			
4. Have you decided whether awards will count toward individual recipients' pensions?			
5. Have you decided how closely to the period of performance awards will be paid?			
6. Have you specified an agency that will actually pay the awards (e.g., state education agency, school district central office, or community foundation)?			
7. Have you purposefully constructed an overall plan to ensure long-term financial sustainability?			
8. Do you have a rational explanation for any differences in reward amounts for classifications of teacher and administrator bonus amounts?			
9. Have you considered the financial costs of improving data quality and the possible addition of assessments in grades and subjects not covered under mandated state tests?			
10. Assessing and maintaining data quality standards require financial resources (e.g., uncovering data quality errors at the school level, administering data quality checks at the school level). Do you have a plan to account for the additional resources needed to implement and maintain data quality?			



<b>F.</b>	Perf	formance Measures	Yes	Νο	NA
١.	Ho	w will you measure student achievement?			
	a.	Attainment			
	b.	A growth measure (changes in attainment)			
	c.	Value-added			
2.	W	hich type of assessments will you use for your compensation program?			
	a.	National assessments			
	b.	State assessments			
	c.	District assessments			
	d.	A combination of the 3 listed above			
	e.	Other			
3.	Wi	ill the new compensation system provide incentives for:			
	a.	School-level performance?			
	b.	Grade-level performance?			
	c.	Classroom-level performance?			
	d.	Team-level performance (e.g., all teachers in the same school, subject, and/or grade)?			
4.	clas	d-year testing substantially increases the difficulty of correctly assigning measures of ssroom productivity. Are your high-stakes tests, if used as part of the compensation tem, close to the beginning or end of the school year?			
5.		ve you identified multiple sources of information that can be used to assess educator formance over time?			
	a.	Supervisors' judgments (e.g., principals, mentor teachers)			
	b.	Peers' judgments			
	c.	Other:			
6.	Do	es the evaluation system utilize multiple observations each year?			
7.	Ha	ve you identified teacher and/or principal evaluation instrument(s)?			
8.	ls y	our evaluation rubric a:			
	a.	National model?			
	b,	State system?			
	c.	District designed system?			
	d.	Other?			



<b>F.</b>	Perf	ormance Measures (cont'd.)	Yes	No	NA
9.		ve you developed a plan for initial and ongoing training of individuals who will be ng instruments to evaluate teacher and principal performance?			
10.		ve you determined if the following non-performance-based dimensions are to be luded in the proposed new compensation system?			
	a.	Completes specific professional development activities			
	b.	Assumes additional roles and responsibilities (e.g., master teacher, mentor teacher)			
	c.	Works in a hard-to-staff school			
	d.	Teaches hard-to-fill subject or specialization (e.g., math, science, special education, bilingual education)			
	e.	Other			
11.		ny of the additional actions above will be rewarded, have you decided how to weight m within the overall compensation plan?			
12.		ll your evaluation system help inform district and school administrators' tenure and/ contract decisions?			



G.	Information Technology Considerations			
GI	. Decision Support Needs	Yes	No	NA
1.	Does your accountability system provide timely data for effective data-based deci- sionmaking? (For example, are diagnostic test results available in time and in the right subject areas to allow school staff to identify students who need additional support to pass high-stakes tests?)			
2.	Are non-test-based measures sufficiently rigorous to allow building-level staff to collect and monitor key instructional practices and process?			
3.	Are data available at the appropriate level of specificity? For example, does your data system enable you to link students to teachers and subjects taught so that you can identify which teachers to reward when student performance improves?			
	Can you do this at both the elementary and secondary levels?			
4.	Do you have district staff (e.g., teachers, building leaders, and central office staff) responsible for ensuring that student-teacher, school-principal, and/or student-school linkages are high quality?			
5.	Have you tested the data quality to the extent that building-level staff trust the system to deliver fair and accurate results?			
6.	Are multiple forms of evidence or data included in any evaluation of performance? Consider the following:			
	a. Value-added measures			
	b. Observational/evaluative ratings of teachers and school leaders			
	c. Adequacy of classroom resource			
	d. Portfolios or other examples of student work			
	e. Schools and classroom climate			
7.	Are the components of your performance evaluation weighted evenly?			
	a. If the components are not weighted evenly, then are the weights supported by relevant research and best practices?			
8.	Have you scheduled sufficient time to ensure reasonable delivery of data as well as rigorous quality control checks before payouts are made?			

G2	. Reporting Application	Yes	No	NA
1.	Does your information technology system allow you to go beyond basic compliance reporting and evaluate curriculum effectiveness, success of teacher induction practices, and other significant operations?			
2.	Are formal or informal processes in place to identify the data and reporting needs of individuals at varying levels of the organization (e.g., district administrators, school principals, classroom teachers)?			
3.	Does your data collection design process include capacity for future growth? For example, if there is a need to collect data on teacher practices, such as the extent to which a new curriculum was actually enacted in the classroom, do plans exist for gathering and organizing these data?			
4.	Does your school or district have an ability to collect existing data such as student attendance (at the course level) with high frequency and accuracy?			
5.	Performance incentive systems often expose data and/or data quality gaps in existing reports. Does the information technology system development process allow users to define data needs as they arise?			

G3	.Technical Considerations	Yes	No	NA
1.	Have you determined which persons and organizational units need information or data access and from where? (Classroom value-added measures, for example, typically require teacher characteristics from HR systems, student demographics from the student information system, and assessment results from the testing office. They may also require data on professional development, licensure, and other teacher data that may be held at the state level or in teacher portfolios.)			
2.	Are the participating schools using a centrally managed information system for the project?			
3.	ls your data system able to address student and teacher mobility between districts, schools, and classrooms within a school?			
4.	Do your outside vendors have the capacity to be flexible if system modifications are needed?			

G4. Organizational Considerations			No	NA
١.	Are information technology system users an integral part of the design process?			
2.	Do information technology system managers have an evaluation plan with performance targets that will inform them of the effectiveness of the system?			
3.	Do you have a data dictionary system in place that contains information about the stored data, including details of their meaning; their relationship to other data; and their origin, usage, and format?			
4.	Do you have a process in place to capture and incorporate changes to the data dictionary system?			
5.	Does the group charged with developing and deploying decision support resources have access to senior decisionmakers in the district?			
6.	Do senior district leaders support the creation of cross-functional teams (e.g., groups that include curricular specialists with information technology and accountability staff)?			
7.	Are any of the data collection and analysis tasks to be contracted out? If so, does the project timeline correspond with the contractor's timeline?			
8.	Because the data needs of a performance incentive system often cross many organi- zational lines, there may be unanticipated consequences for departmental units more removed from teaching and learning. Do you have a decisionmaking or a governance system in place to respond to various departmental needs?			

н.	H. Evaluation						
н	HI. Compliance with the Department of Education				NA		
١.		ve you designed the evaluation to ensure Federal regulations and guidelines are ing followed?					
2.	ls y	your evaluation being conducted by an:					
	a.	Internal reviewer?					
	b.	External evaluator?					
	c.	Other?					
		ve you designed the evaluation to provide quality formative feedback for ogram improvement?					
3.	aco	ve you designed the evaluation to provide rigorous summative outcome data for countability purposes and to inform stakeholders about important dimensions of ogram impact?					

H2. Meeting Standards of Rigorous Program Evaluation			No	NA
١.	Utility: Does the evaluation inform program improvement processes?			
2.	Feasibility: Are the evaluation procedures manageable given the particular program and its context?			
3.	Propriety: Have you designed the evaluation to be ethical, legal, and protective of the rights and welfare of involved parties?			
4.	Accuracy: Have you designed the evaluation with emphasis on collecting comprehensive and sound information, effective analysis procedures, and accurate reporting of information?			

H3. Budgetary Considerations			No	NA
١.	Have you developed the overall program budget to include costs associated with evaluation design?			
2.	Have evaluation tasks been analyzed to determine cost?			
3.	Have you made allowances for periodic updating of initial evaluation budgets as program leaders respond to reports and update their information requirements?			

H4. Selecting Appropriate Evaluation Methodology			No	NA
١.	Have you weighed the benefits and drawbacks of experimental and nonexperimental designs?			
2.	Have you used both quantitative and qualitative methods to evaluate diverse compo- nents of the program?			
3.	Do you have a plan in place to ensure the quantitative information in the evaluation will be appropriately and systematically analyzed so that evaluation questions are effectively answered?			
4.	Do you have a plan in place to ensure the qualitative information in the evaluation will be appropriately and systematically analyzed so that evaluation questions are effectively answered?			



H5. Meeting Standards for High-Quality Information Collection			No	NA
Ι.	Information Scope and Selection: Have you selected information to be collected to address pertinent questions about the program and to be responsive to the needs and interests of various stakeholders?			
2.	Rights of Human Subjects: Have you designed and constructed the evaluation to respect and protect the rights and welfare of human subjects?			
3.	Program Documentation: Have you clearly and accurately described and documented the program being evaluated so that it is clearly identified and clearly communicated to various stakeholders?			
4.	Defensible Information Sources: Are the sources of information used in the evaluation described in enough detail so that you can assess the accuracy of the information?			
5.	Valid Information: Have you conducted the information gathering and analysis proce- dures in a manner that will ensure valid results?			
6.	Reliable Information: Have you conducted the information gathering and analysis procedures in a manner that will ensure reliable results?			
7.	Systematic Information: Have you systematically reviewed the information gathering and analysis procedures to ensure reliability and validity of data?			

H6	H6. Communicating and Utilizing Findings		Yes	Νο	NA
Ι.		ve you established an Evaluation Review Panel that includes representatives of the evaluation's audience?			
2.		ve you engaged stakeholders in critiquing evaluation plans and draft instruments and ports?			
3.	Do	you have a system in place to provide opportunities for:			
	a.	Identifying needs of program staff?			
	b.	Helping program leaders assess whether the existing budget sufficiently addresses issues found in the evaluation?			
	c.	Increasing public understanding and acceptance of a successful program?			
	d.	Planning any necessary follow-up studies to address identified issues?			
4.	ers	you have forums planned (such as an evaluation workshop) that provide stakehold- with opportunities for reviewing and reacting to evaluation plans, draft reports, and her evaluation materials?			
5.		evaluation reports inform decisionmakers about pathways and choices leading to cential program improvement?			
6.		evaluation reports help inform decisionmakers about the effectiveness of the trict's professional development programs?			



## Educator Compensation Reform Implementation Checklist

Revised edition, April 2011

The Center for Educator Compensation Reform (CECR) was awarded to Westat — in partnership with Learning Point Associates, Synergy Enterprises Inc., Vanderbilt University, and the University of Wisconsin — by the U.S. Department of Education in October 2006. The primary purpose of CECR is to support Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) grantees in their implementation efforts through provision of sustained technical assistance and development and dissemination of timely resources. CECR also is charged with raising national awareness of alternative and effective strategies for educator compensation through a newsletter, a Web-based clearinghouse, and other outreach activities.

This work was originally produced in whole or in part by the Center for Educator Compensation Reform (CECR) with funds from the U.S. Department of Education under contract number ED-06-CO-0110. The content does not necessarily reflect the position or policy of CECR or the Department of Education, nor does mention or visual representation of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by CECR or the federal government.



Center for Educator Compensation Reform

Allison Henderson, Director

Phone: 888-202-1513 E-mail: cecr@westat.com