

**Prince George's County Public Schools**  
**Title I 1003(g) School Improvement Grant (SIG)**  
**Fourth Quarter Report**  
Date Submitted September 12, 2011

**Part I: Executive Summary**

**I A. Strengths:**

PGCPS has used lessons learned to make the appropriate adjustments to the SIG proposal and to support the turnaround schools. The Turnaround Executive Committee continues to serve as the conduit to channel concerns turnaround schools are facing. Within this report, details are provided to illustrate the commitment from MSDE and the District, and to highlight the growth of the turnaround schools.

The fourth quarter provided a tremendous opportunity to take lessons learned and develop a solid plan for the following school year. More specifically, turnaround school leaders determined building professional capacity for instructional staff as a major goal for SY 2012. During performance planning meetings and end of the year evaluations of each principal, the following areas of the Maryland Instructional Leadership Framework, will be the focus of our collective work:

- Monitor the Alignment of Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment
- Improve Instructional Practices Through the Purposeful Observation and Evaluation of Teachers
- Ensure the Regular Integration of Appropriate Assessments into Daily Classroom Instruction

Our partnership Research for Better Teaching and MSDE Breakthrough will assist each school with developing a laser-like focus on instruction and improving student achievement.

**I B. Areas for Improvement:**

Staffing continues to be a major concern for the turnaround schools. We will continue to work closely with Human Resources to attract and retain highly effective educators.

Support for schools is improving. The turnaround office will expand to provide support to schools in the following areas: Reading, Mathematics, and Student Support.

## **Part II. Monitoring**

### **II A. Turnaround Director's Monitoring of SIG Schools**

As documented in the first SIG monitoring visit, there was a concentrated emphasis on culture and climate in the four turnaround schools. The turnaround director supported each school's mission to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Each school leadership team spent an inordinate amount of time managing students while working closely with professional staff. Although formal co-observations with the Principal and Director were not conducted, informal class visits did occur to gauge professional staff level of capacity and to determine areas of support. Framework for Teaching is the observation tool used at all four turnaround schools. An immediate concern was getting all of the leadership certified in this process. As of November 22, 2010, all members of each leadership team were certified as reported by the Financial Incentives & Rewards for Supervisors and Teachers *FIRST*. Before official certification, each school received a calendar to advise when the Danielson Consultants would conduct the initial diagnostic observations. These observations occurred September 13, 2010 through November 4, 2010.

Performance planning for the 2010-2011 school year has occurred quarterly. The initial performance planning occurred during the summer of 2010 in which the turnaround director met with the turnaround principals individually to review the School Improvement Grant for each school. On August 16, 2010, staff from School Improvement, Tujana White, met with the turnaround principals as a group to provide them an at-a-glance document to capture the SIG. The title of her presentation was *1003G Extrapolation: SIP Development*. The second performance planning occurred November 17, 2011 via our quarterly Performance Management Analysis and Planning Process *PMAPP*. In attendance were members of the Central Support Team, Steering Committee, and Turnaround Principals. The purpose of this meeting was to review the schools' performance data and provide the appropriate supports for each school.

During the first quarter, the turnaround director participated in Leadership Team Meetings at all four turnaround schools as documented in the SIG Monitoring documents.

A review of teacher capacity determined teachers were in need of support around classroom management and rituals and routines. Although professional development was designed for teachers in Reading / Language Arts and Mathematics, teachers were in need of support in the aforementioned areas. As a result, coaches from Resident Teachers and the office in Teacher Leadership provided support through classroom visits and professional development around *Conscious Classroom Management*.

**During the second quarter**, the turnaround co-observations occurred in conjunction with the Danielson Group. A comprehensive schedule was developed by the *FIRST* office in which members of the Danielson Group worked collaboratively with the schools' leadership teams and the turnaround director, as a part of the Framework for Teaching Observation Process, to collect evidence and calibrate ratings of teacher performance in the areas of planning and preparation, classroom environment, and instruction, . This process provided immediate

professional development in the aforementioned areas for members of the leadership team, and it also encouraged inter-rater reliability when conducting observations. Copies of all co-observations conducted were provided during the SIG monitoring visits.

During December 7 - 9, 2010, the turnaround principals and director visited several schools managed by the Chicago Public Schools and the Academy of Urban School Leadership. Turnaround principals were able to observe successful programs in each school, and conference with principals to learn best practices in the area of turnaround. In addition, the executive team and principals from Academy of Urban School Leadership presented their turnaround model, PASSAGE, and provided comprehensive details around the model. In addition to visiting several of the AUSL schools, the organization provided insight to the professional development model to include extensive tiered training for teachers and Educational Support Personnel: Student Advocates.

Performance planning for the second quarter occurred during the week of February 14, 2011. The process for the second quarter shifted to conducting these meetings with individual schools. It is the intent of the turnaround office to replicate the model used in Chicago to conduct performance planning meetings monthly with individual school leadership teams. Topics will range from academics, student services, family engagement, etc... in an effort to diagnose school problems, and work collaboratively with the Central Support Team, Steering Committee, and MSDE Breakthrough Center to jointly develop resolutions around the problem. A recurring theme during the second quarter performance planning meetings at each school was additional assistance from student services and special education. Student services is needed to work directly with the schools' student services teams to organize SIT meetings, review records, and identify students with 504 plans. Special Education is needed to review student records to ensure compliance, provide professional development in the area of IEP development, and conducting additional training in the co-teaching model. Student achievement in the area of special education was stagnant; therefore, additional work was requested in this area.

The turnaround director began discussion with two potential partners to assist the turnaround schools with providing comprehensive family engagement services and professional development for teachers. Recognizing real family, school, and community engagement is an essential ingredient for effective school reform, Mid-Atlantic Equity Consortium will collaborate with the turnaround schools to improve family engagement in the turnaround schools. They will work closely with each schools leadership and student services team so that we are able to effectively satisfy the requirements of the SIG Requirement 9. The turnaround is director has had several conversations with Research for Better Teaching, the developers of Skillful Teacher and Skillful Leader, to form a full scale professional development partnership with the turnaround schools. The research indicates teachers are most satisfied with their work when they engage in professional development that is meaningful and has an impact on job performance: Skillful Teacher will provide teachers the tools to become effective practitioners, and Skillful Leader will provide our school leadership teams with additional skills and competencies around observation and evaluation.

**During the third quarter**, turnaround schools focused on MSA preparation which was administered in March 2011; however, the work of the Turnaround Steering Committee and external partners did not cease. This quarter was a great opportunity to capture and plan for the SIG requirements which were not fulfilled during the summer of 2010. More specifically, performance planning sessions were held at each school in the following areas:

- **AVID** – Dr. Diana Kendrick conducted meetings with each individual school team to provide an overview of AVID. Dr. Kendrick’s discussion with the school-based leadership teams focused on the following components of AVID:
  - Characteristics of Successful AVID Site Coordinators and Teachers
  - AVID Teacher Application
  - Avid Campus Coordinator
  - The AVID Elective Class
  - The AVID Site Team
  - The Power of the AVID Site Team.

Through Dr. Kendrick’s work, each turnaround school developed their respective site teams. Dr. Kendrick worked closely with the Turnaround Office to ensure members of each team were registered for the Summer AVID Conference to be held in Atlanta, Georgia.

- **PBIS** – Doug Gaither, PBIS Coordinator for PGCPs, worked closely with each school to provide technical assistance for this school year, and plan for SY 2012. More specifically, Mr. Gaither provided dates for the Spring Forum and registration information for the annual PBIS training conference held each summer. Because of staff turnover from the previous school year, we wanted to ensure the current staff was properly trained. PBIS Team training was held for turnaround school teams on March 1, 2011. The focus of the training was on the following components of PBIS:
  - Developing expectation for schools
  - Teaching matrix
  - Minor & major incidents
  - Acknowledgement system
- **Family Engagement** – Members of Mid-Atlantic Equity Consortium **MAEC** and the Turnaround Director met with the family engagement team at each of the turnaround schools during the month of March. This provided an opportunity for school teams to collaborate with MAEC to begin developing a comprehensive family engagement plan for each school. MAEC devoted the initial discussion to providing an overview of their work with the turnaround schools and engaging in a dialogue with each school-based

team to begin the important work of conducting a comprehensive needs assessment for each school, developing a calendar of activities, and interacting with staff, students, and the community.

- **Professional Development** – The executive staff of Research for Better Teaching **RBT** and the Turnaround Director continued conversation around developing a comprehensive plan to provide professional development for the turnaround schools. The anticipated plan incorporates training staff in the work of **The Skillful Teacher** to include teachers and administration. In addition, coaching support will be provided to teachers on a monthly basis. This work is slated to begin during the Turnaround Summer Symposium July 25 – 28. As a precursor to the Turnaround Summer Symposium, the RBT Team will visit the turnaround schools April 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup>.

The Office of Teacher Professional Development continues to work with selected turnaround school teachers around the Conscious Classroom Management model developed by Rick Smith. Under the direction of Dr. Gladys Whitehead, Curriculum and Instruction C&I continue to provide support to turnaround schools by conducting classroom observations utilizing the Framework for Teaching model. In addition, members of the C&I team worked with individual teachers who received interim unsatisfactory evaluations to improve their practice.

MSDE continued to support schools with the collaborative planning and lesson implementation cycle. Mathematics support was added this quarter and proved to be beneficial for teachers and instructional leaders. The focus areas for professional development were 1. Student engagement, 2. Differentiation and 3. Re-teaching.

- **Turnaround Principal Meetings** – Meetings for the third quarter focused on planning for SY 2012 in the areas stipulated in the grant. The February meeting agenda items included performance planning, AVID, PBIS, external partners [Research for Better Teaching and Mid-Atlantic Equity Consortium], and Scheduling. March’s meeting was devoted to FIRST, SIG Budgets [remaining], Summer Offerings, Administrative Transfers, and Scheduling. *Promoting your School: Going beyond PR* and *The New Leader’s 100 Day Action Plan* were the book topics for February and March, respectively. A major focus of the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter is the development of the 100 Day Plan for each turnaround school. The turnaround director will meet with each school principal to develop a comprehensive plan for SY 2012.
- **Special Education** – PGCPSS special education office continues to work with the turnaround schools in the following areas:
  - IEP Teams and manifestation meetings
  - Monitoring and compliance of school level discipline

- **MSDE Student Services RTTT** – On March 23, 2011, the MSDE Team led by Lynne Muller met with representatives from PGCPS student services, Principals from the turnaround schools, and the turnaround director to discuss supports from MSDE as a part their RTTT plan.

Finally, each turnaround school participated in the mid-year SIG monitoring conducted by MSDE. The results of the monitoring confirmed PGCPS Turnaround Schools have made significant strides in implementing the grant proposal. We are confident our work moving forward will greatly impact student achievement.

**The fourth quarter** was devoted to planning and preparation for the opening of the 2011-2012 school year. The turnaround director and principals spent a great deal of time finalizing the “100 Day Plan” for each school. The “100 Day Plan” consists of the major initiatives and programs as written in the Approved / Amended School Improvement Grant and district requirements to include the following:

1. Staffing
2. Scheduling
3. Summer Programs / Options to include August Academy
4. SIG Restructuring
5. Family Engagement
6. Student Services / Special Education
7. PBIS
8. Leadership Team Development
9. Professional Development
10. Instructional Leadership
11. AVID Team and Conference
12. STEM

The purpose of this planning was two-fold. First, it provided principals an opportunity to focus on the requirements of School Improvement Grant. Secondly, it provided an opportunity to truly organize their individual schools for success. It was truly amazing to work closely with each principal and leadership team to ensure each school was able to participate in a variety of professional development experiences to build professional capacity, and increase student achievement.

**Turnaround Principal Meetings** – Meetings for the fourth quarter focused on planning for SY 2012 in the areas stipulated in the grant. The April Meeting provided principals with a ‘buffet’ of items to increase rigorous academic offerings to include presentations on the following: STEM – project Lead the Way, Scorecard, Social Studies – We the People, Reading and Math Interventions. As previously mentioned, a major focus of the

4th Quarter is the development of the 100 Day Plan for each turnaround school. The turnaround director met with each school principal at least twice during the month of May to develop a comprehensive plan for SY 2012. The June principals' meeting focused on Co-teaching implementation and a professional development on Scorecard from the consultants at John Hopkins.

Research for Better Teaching "hit the ground" in the turnaround schools on April 28 and 29. The purpose of their visit was to spend time in each of the turnaround schools to observe teaching and learning and make recommendations for a proposal to build teaching and leadership capacity. On April 29, 2011, members of Research for Better Teaching spent a half-day interacting with school and district leadership to discuss their observations and provide recommendations for their services.

Mid-Atlantic Equity Consortium continued their work with Family Engagement. Several activities took place at each school to begin this work to include a comprehensive needs assessment and a community survey to determine staff, students, and parents' perceptions and attitudes towards the school. This data will be used to develop a comprehensive plan at each school to meet the needs of the school communities.

The summer began with a multitude of professional development opportunities for turnaround leaders and teachers.

**AVID** – 8 member teams from each school attended the summer AVID Institute in Atlanta, Georgia during the week of **June 18, 2011**. According to their website, "AVID, Advancement Via Individual Determination, is an elementary through postsecondary college readiness system that is designed to increase schoolwide learning and performance. The AVID system accelerates student learning, uses research based methods of effective instruction, provides meaningful and motivational professional development, and acts as a catalyst for systemic reform and change."

**Turnaround Aspiring Principals/Leadership Institute** – Principals were asked to identify leaders within the building to participate in the inaugural Turnaround Aspiring Principals/Leadership Institute held **June 29 and June 30, 2011** at G. James Gholson Middle School. In concert with professional literature, the purpose of TAPLI is to build a pipeline of skilled professionals to engage in advanced leadership work in our most challenged schools. Twenty-two (22) participants engaged in this great opportunity to develop a professional learning community across the turnaround schools to discuss issues, share best practices, and develop a "bench" while incorporating the Maryland Instructional Leadership Framework.

**STEM** – A total of three teachers participated in STEM Training in conjunction with Gateway to Technology – Project Lead the Way from **July 5 to July 15, 2011** at University of Maryland Baltimore County. Three of the four turnaround principals identified STEM as an instructional initiative to increase rigorous course offerings for students, and engage students in interactive learning to increase their awareness and interest in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.

**We the People** – Several Social Studies teachers participated in “We the People” professional development during the week of **July 10, 2011**. We the People: The Citizen and the Constitution is a nationally acclaimed government and civics curriculum that helps students understand the history and principles of our Constitution and Bill of Rights. The program is available to elementary, middle, and high school students and teachers from public and private schools anywhere in the United States.

**PBIS** – Each school team worked closely with Douglass Gaither to determine their individual school needs. As a result, each team registered and attended the two-day PBIS Conference **July 21 and July 22, 2011**. PBIS is a major driver in shifting the culture and climate in each of the schools.

**MSDE Breakthrough Content Workshops** – Members of MSDE Breakthrough planned content workshops for teachers in Reading / Language Arts RELA and Mathematics to occur in mid-July and the **first week of August**. The mathematics workshop did not occur due to low enrollment; however, the RELA workshops occurred as scheduled. The RELA workshops provided teachers an opportunity to do the following:

1. develop a deeper understanding of the processes for effective planning of reading comprehension instruction
2. examine and apply research-based practices in the planning and implementation of instruction
3. design lessons for initial reading strategy instruction (before and during reading)
4. design a skill development lesson for targeted MSA reading comprehension objective (after reading)
5. engage in the collaborative planning process

Turnaround Summer Symposium – This was the culminating professional development activity for the turnaround schools. **During the week of July 25, 2011**, instructional staff and leadership teams were the benefactors of workshops on *The Skillful Teacher*, Technology, and Family Engagement and Team Building provided by Research for Better Teaching, PGCPs Office of Technology, and Mid-Atlantic Equity Consortium, respectively.



**II B. Chief Academic Officer Monitoring of Turnaround Director**

**This table shows the dates and number of occurrences for each monitoring activity by the Chief Academic Officer of the Turnaround Director.**

**First Quarter**

<b>Schools</b>	<b>Quarterly Evaluation of test data by school</b>	<b>Quarterly Evaluation of Participation Data (attendance, suspension, etc.)</b>	<b>Review of Collaborative Planning with MSDE Leadership Office</b>	<b>Collaboration with Human Resources (including a review of records for recruitment, salary differentiation, staff evaluations, job descriptions)</b>
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	November 17, 2010	November 17, 2010	August 23, 2010 September 1, 2010 September 20, 2010 October 25, 2010	During the 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter, there was an emphasis in all four schools to fill any existing vacancies. Vacancies were reported weekly. Staffing specialists assigned to the schools worked directly with the principals. A meeting was held October 1 <sup>st</sup> between district and union representatives to discuss extended day, incentives for turnaround teachers, FIRST Participation, Three-year commitment, staffing SY 2011-2012, and an MOU to capture commitments from all parties. On October 22, 2010, HR representative, Bob Gaskins and the turnaround director met to discuss required strategies for the turnaround schools for SY 2011-2012 to include job fairs, early notification of staff, announcements, and postings. In addition,
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	November 17, 2010	November 17, 2010	August 23, 2010 September 1, 2010 September 20, 2010 October 25, 2010	
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	November 17, 2010	November 17, 2010	August 23, 2010 September 1, 2010 September 20, 2010 October 25, 2010	
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	November 17, 2010	November 17, 2010	August 23, 2010 September 1, 2010 September 20, 2010 October 25, 2010	

**Second Quarter**

Schools	Quarterly Evaluation of test data by school	Quarterly Evaluation of Participation Data (attendance, suspension, etc.)	Review of Collaborative Planning with MSDE Leadership Office	Collaboration with Human Resources (including a review of records for recruitment, salary differentiation, staff evaluations, job descriptions)
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	February 17, 2010	February 17, 2010	December 6, 2010 December 20, 2010 January 14, 2011 January 21, 2011	The second quarter work with Human Resources focused on staffing for SY 2011-2012. The emphasis was on early identification of a pipeline of talent to serve in our schools. This work began November 13, 2010 at the Teach for America Networking and Career Fair. The turnaround office was present to meet with potential teachers and the leadership from TFA to discuss our needs for the upcoming school year. On November 19, 2010, the turnaround director and the president of PGCEA met to discuss teacher incentives in the turnaround schools and early notification for those who desire to transfer at the conclusion of the 2010-2011 SY. On December 6, 2010, the turnaround director and HR Representative, Bob Gaskins, met to discuss the recruitment plan, external and internal job fair, for turnaround schools. In addition, the conducted a comprehensive review of Section 3 of the SIG Feedback Form. On December 13, 2010, a joint meeting was with the HR Office, turnaround office, curriculum & instruction, and turnaround principals to plan for the external job fair for turnaround schools. On December 20, 2010, a follow-up meeting was held to discuss the turnaround job fair, and specific duties were delegated.
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	February 15, 2011	February 15, 2011	December 6, 2010 December 20, 2010 January 14, 2011 January 21, 2011	
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	February 18, 2010	February 18, 2010	December 6, 2010 December 20, 2010 January 14, 2011 January 21, 2011	
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	February 17, 2010	February 17, 2010	December 6, 2010 December 20, 2010 January 14, 2011 January 21, 2011	

**Third Quarter**

Schools	Quarterly Evaluation of test data by school	Quarterly Evaluation of Participation Data (attendance, suspension, etc.)	Review of Collaborative Planning with MSDE Leadership Office	Collaboration with Human Resources (including a review of records for recruitment, salary differentiation, staff evaluations, job descriptions)
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	n/a *	April 1, 2011	2-08-11 2- 28-11 3-21-11 3-22-11 3-24-11	<p>The third quarter work of Human Resources focused on the Turnaround Recruitment Symposium. Over two-hundred external applicants applied to participate in the recruitment symposium which was held Saturday, February 12, 2011 at G. James Gholson Middle School. After screening applicants, Human Resources decreased the list of potential teachers to approximately ninety. During the recruiting symposium candidates participated in the following activities:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Writing Sample</li> <li>2) Interview with members of Curriculum and Instruction, Human Resources, and School-Based Leadership</li> <li>3) Fishbowl Activity monitored by the principals and turnaround director</li> </ol> <p>Advertising for the Turnaround Recruitment Symposium was placed through several media to include The Washington Post and EdWeek. Of the ninety candidates, the turnaround principals identified approximately thirty they are interested in for SY 2012.</p> <p>The voluntary transfer processed open for all teachers in PGCPS in March 2011. At the close of the period, the data reflected approximately 277 highly qualified teachers interested in transferring into turnaround school while 74 were interested in transferring out.</p>
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	n/a *	April 1, 2011	2-09-11 2-14-11 2-17-11 2-22-11 2- 28-11 3-21-11	
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	n/a *	April 1, 2011	2- 28-11 3-21-11	
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	n/a *	April 1, 2011	2-15-11 2- 28-11 3-21-11 3-29-11 3-31-11	

**\* FAST Testing doesn't occur during third quarter because of MSA.**

**Fourth Quarter**

Schools	Quarterly Evaluation of test data by school	Quarterly Evaluation of Participation Data (attendance, suspension, etc.)	Review of Collaborative Planning with MSDE Leadership Office	Collaboration with Human Resources (including a review of records for recruitment, salary differentiation, staff evaluations, job descriptions)
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	June 20, 2011	June 20, 2011	April 12, 2011 April 14, 2011 May 11, 2011 May 16, 2011	The fourth quarter work focused on appropriately staffing each of the turnaround schools. Human Resources participated in several meetings with the Chief Academic Officer, Turnaround Director, and Principals in an effort to increase communication around pending reductions in force which will impact all schools in PGCPs.
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	June 20, 2011	June 20, 2011	April 12, 2011 April 13, 2011 May 2, 2011 May 4, 2011 May 12, 2011 May 17, 2011	
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	June 21, 2011	June 21, 2011	April 4, 2011 April 5, 2011 April 11, 2011 May 2, 2011 May 6, 2011 June 9, 2011	
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	June 21, 2011	June 21, 2011	April 5, 2011 May 24, 2011	

MSDE Breakthrough Leadership Development Sessions with Instructional Lead Teachers and Academic Deans from the four turnaround schools occurred April 26, April 27, May 11, 2011, May 12, May 25, May 26, June 6, and June 8, 2011. The purpose of these meetings was to build a professional learning community across the four schools, develop leadership capacity around coaching, and develop a plan around effective teaching in the content areas.

## **II C. Office of Student Services and Department of School Improvement monitoring of SIG schools**

The Office of Student Services works closely with the members of each school's student services team to provide professional development in a number of areas. On September 7, 2010, members of the student services team, Elizabeth Sessoms, Janice Briscoe, and Robert Anderson, collaborated with the Turnaround Director to update the job description for the school social worker, and discuss the role the social worker would perform in the turnaround schools. After several drafts, the turnaround director met with the social workers on September 21, 2010 from all four schools to discuss the following:

- Social Worker Job Description
- Family Engagement
- Positive Behavior Intervention and Support *PBIS*
- Prince George's County Government Services
- Resources for Students and Parents

Subsequently, members from the Office of Student Services participated in the September 27, 2010 Turnaround Principals' Meeting in which they acquainted the team with services provided by their office for Professional School Counselors, Social Workers, and Pupil Personnel Workers. During this meeting, the student services team provided principals with a calendar in which they would offer professional development to members of each schools' student services' team. Furthermore, members of the office of student services requested meeting dates to visit the schools.

Recognizing the need for additional information from Prince George's County Government, the turnaround director invited representatives from the following offices to provide orientation to the turnaround social workers' team on October 8, 2010:

- Prince George's County Division of Student Services – Lavette Sims
- Prince George's County Division of Family Services – Gena Greenhood and Judy DuBose
- Prince George's County Division of Youth Strategies - Willie Clements

Each division representative discussed the services provided by their office, and disseminated literature to the social workers and members from the office of student services. Subsequent meetings with the social workers became the responsibility of the office of student services.

Professional School Counselors have participated in several Professional Development sessions sponsored by the office of student services. The September meeting focused on Professional School Counselors roles and responsibilities. The October 2010 meeting focused on the following areas:

- Goals / Expectations

- Bullying, Harassment, and Intimidation
- Home and Hospital Teaching

After reviewing comprehensive student discipline data in the turnaround schools, it was suggested by Diane Powell that the student services team administer the Olweus Student Survey. The Olweus Student Survey focuses on the aspects of bullying and provides schools data to implement a comprehensive prevention program.

During the second quarter, the Office of Student Services continued to work with professional school counselors, social workers, pupil personnel workers, and dedicated psychologists at each of the turnaround schools.

Professional School Counselors participated in the following professional development during the second quarter:

<b>November 2010</b>	<b>December 2010</b>	<b>January 2011</b>
Section 504	Lottery Application Process	Drop-out Prevention Initiative
Child Abuse and Neglect	Grading and Reporting	Personal Education Plans
International School Counseling Office	Functional Behavior Assessment	Overview of Career Cruising
Safe and Drug Free Schools		University of Maryland Educational Opportunity Center
Homeless Education		

The Social Workers met with representatives from the office of student services on December 3, 2010, December 10, 2010, December 21, 2010, and January 14, 2011. These meetings provide social workers with opportunities to discuss successes and challenges at the school level while receiving professional development in the areas of parent engagement, the role of the social worker, and coordinating work with the school-based psychologists. The office of student services has worked collaboratively with social workers to develop data sheets that track their work on a monthly basis.

The Olweus Survey was administered to approximately 2,300 students in the four turnaround schools during the month of December 2010. At the conclusion of the survey, each school received a comprehensive report of the findings and a power point presentation to share with staff, parents, and students.

During the third quarter, the office of student services provided coordinated support (Grief and Loss Counseling) at G. James Gholson. In addition, they planned MSDE race to the Top Collaborative Planning Meeting with key stakeholders from Student Services to ensure that identified deliverables are offered to principals. Student services met with the Mid Atlantic Equity Center to collaboratively plan parent engagement activities

for the Turnaround Schools. Members of the team met with principal of Benjamin Stoddert to discuss areas of support needed to enhance PBIS, student records management and maintenance and support for the school climate. Finally, the student services team reviewed 21st Century Learning Grants and worked with multiple partners to ensure timely submission of applications that address extended school hour interventions and supports.

Professional School Counselors participated in the following professional development during the third quarter:

<b>February 2011</b>	<b>March 2011</b>
Counseling Services on the IEP	Capital Hospice
PGCPS College Fair	Middle School Scheduling
School Counseling – PMAPP Overview	Secondary School Reform
	Advanced Placement Online
	Advanced Placement Potential
	College Board Excelerator

Professional School Counselors participated in the following professional development during the third quarter:


<b>April 2011</b>	<b>May 2011</b>
Capital Hospice	Walk-in Scheduling Assistance
Big Brother, Big Sister	Draft – Counseling Job Description
Scheduling	Draft – Counseling Evaluation
Anti-Bullying	
Career Assessment	
Adventures in Learning Camp	

## Part III. Progress

### III A. Benchmark Data

#### IIIA1: Reading Benchmark Data


This chart shows the *Quarter 1* Reading Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.





This chart shows the *Quarter 2 Reading Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.*

**Turnaround Schools Consolidated FAST II Data  
Reading 2011**




Reading

- All Students - Gholson (11.2 % decrease), Stoddert (1.8% increase), Freeman (5.3% decrease), and Marshall (2.7% decrease)
- 6th Grade - Stoddert (6.7% increase), Marshall (17.9% increase)
- 7th Grade - Gholson (15.3% decrease), Stoddert (8% decrease), Freeman (9.7% decrease), and Marshall (8.8 decrease)
- 8th Grade - Gholson (8.3% decrease), Stoddert (8.8% increase), Freeman (same), Marshall (9.7% increase)

In December of 2010, all schools in the County received achievement targets for the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter. Thurgood Marshall made significant gains in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade and 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading and met or exceeded their 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter achievement targets. Also, Benjamin Stoddert made significant gains in each area with the exception of 7<sup>th</sup> Grade reading; however, they were able to meet their achievement target for 8<sup>th</sup> grade reading.


This chart shows the Quarter 4 Reading Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.



**IIIA2. Math Benchmark Data**


This chart shows the *Quarter 1* Math Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.

**Turnaround Schools Consolidated FAST I Data  
Math 2010 - 2011**



This chart shows the *Quarter 2* Math Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.

Turnaround Schools Consolidated FAST II Data  
Math 2011




Mathematics' achievement at all four schools increased. The results are as follows:

- All students - Gholson (13.2% increase), Stoddert (7.3% increase), Freeman (6.4% increase), and Marshall (12.6% increase)
- 6th Grade - Stoddert (4.3% increase), Marshall (10.2% decrease)
- 7th Grade - Gholson (17.3% increase), Stoddert (12.1% increase), Freeman (11.6% increase), and Marshall (13.1% increase)
- 8th Grade - Gholson (7.7% increase), Stoddert (5% increase), Freeman (2.8% increase), Marshall (20% increase)

Each school attributed their success during the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter to teachers' focus on individual student data. In addition, members from the mathematics' office have been working closely with the ILTs in each school to assist with collaborative planning. During collaborative planning, common assessments have been formulated which are reflective of the standard and emulate the type of questions encountered on the MSA.


Consistent with Reading, each school received achievement targets for 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter. Thurgood Marshall met or exceeded their targets in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade and 8<sup>th</sup> Grade. G. James Gholson met their target in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade. Drew Freeman barely missed the target in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade mathematics; however, they continue to demonstrate growth.

This chart shows the Quarter 4 Math Benchmark Results By School and Grade Level.




## Turnaround Schools Fast II Consolidated Data Comparisons


**Benjamin Stoddert  
Fast II Comparisons**




**Drew Freeman  
Fast II Comparisons**



**G. James Gholson  
Fast II Comparisons**





**Thurgood Marshall  
FAST II Comparisons**



The tables above provide a comparison of FAST II data for SY 2010 and SY 2011. Each school has made modest achievement gains based on the FAST II Data from SY 2010. Benjamin Stoddert has shown an overall increase in Reading with a significant increase in Grade 8 achievement. Mathematics achievement has decreased. Drew Freeman has shown an overall decrease for reading achievement; however, they have remained consistent in mathematics. In addition, Grade 8 math demonstrated the greatest gains. It is worth noting, Drew Freeman’s administrative team re-organized the master schedule at the beginning of the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter to ensure a climate and culture conducive to teaching and learning. G. James Gholson demonstrated modest gains in Reading; however, they decreased slightly in overall mathematics achievement. Finally, Thurgood Marshall demonstrated an overall increase in reading and mathematics. The greatest gains were in Grade 8 with an increase of twelve percent and thirteen percent in reading and math, respectively.

**Final MSA Data SY 2011**



### **III B. Attendance**

This table shows the Overall Attendance Percentages from the 2008 School Year to Date for the 2010- 2011 School Year.

Source: [www.mdreportcard.org](http://www.mdreportcard.org) and PGCPS' SchoolMAX

<b>School</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2010-11 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2010-11 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2010-2011 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	91.3	93.4	92.3	94.1	91.9	94.13	93.6
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	90.7	92.8	92.3	94.96	96.9	94.08	95.0
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	90.5	93.6	95.1	96.62	94.2	94.53	94.8
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	91.0	95.1	94.5	94.1	93.4	92.25	93.1



### III C. Suspensions

This table shows the number of Suspensions by School for the current School Year 2010-11.

Source: PGCPs' SchoolMAX

<b>School</b>	<b>2009-10 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2010-11 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2009-10 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2010-11 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</b>	<b>2010-2011 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter *</b>	<b>2010-2011 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter</b>
<b>G. James Gholson Middle School</b>	n/a	59	n/a	81	188	89
<b>Drew Freeman Middle School</b>	n/a	81	n/a	107	231	64
<b>Benjamin Stoddert Middle School</b>	n/a	102	n/a	111	251	142
<b>Thurgood Marshall Middle School</b>	n/a	125	n/a	166	357	139

\* Includes in and out of school suspension.