



Teacher Induction, Retention, and Advancement Act of 2016 Workgroup

January 31, 2017 Meeting

Committee #3- Professional Development for Teachers and Administrators

Committee Members Present: Kathy Angeletti (USM); Henoah Hailu (MSEA), Yi Huang (USM), Heather Lageman (PSSAM), Laura Liccion (MSDE); Yi Huang, (USM)

Committee Members Absent: Deanna Stock (MADTECC); Phyllis Lloyd (MAESP), Judy Jenkins (MICUA);

MSDE Staff: Karen Dates Dunmore (MSDE)

Alternates Present: Dewayne Morgan (P-20 Director, USM)

Approval of Minutes:

Not applicable

Discussion:

- There needs to be a clear template re expectations that should be consistent state-wide
- Definition of “career ladders” – certain pathways that are highly focused and personalized—multiple pathways. Not everyone has to become an administrator—master teachers who provide mentor role
- Lack of support—PD is what is holding them back. Growing movement for past 3-4 years. Need structure and support.
- Key factor—alternative options to learning – rethink what matters most to teachers
- Credentialing is being re-thought—more customizable
- Research and evidenced based
- Engage teachers with other teachers
- Look at different ways to acquire knowledge – do not focus on seat time and counting hours – performance based
- Re-visit inhibiting structures
- Cultural proficiency training in first year
- Pathways change and grow
- Interest in salary scales being tied to credentialing
- Focus on PD to be personalized, tied to the teacher
- Focus on teacher needs to be effective in the classroom
- Availability of both seat time and other options for certification
- Bring in LEA’s so that we are not in competition with them—what is important to them
- How do we start “new”?
- Parts of teacher induction are not being followed by all jurisdictions—no one there to “mind the store”

- Shift the mind-set in schools
- School-university partnership – what does it mean? Focus on what has been required – year long PD experience – hard to go beyond the requirements. A lot contingent upon reception of faculty and principals. Looking to create a different kind of dynamic—true partnership re how learning is designed. Tap into the power of many voices to really look at what works
- Resources are biggest deterrent. Are funds being used in the best place possible?
- Schools and universities need to really look together
- Micro credentialing
- Collective resources with higher ed
- Induction – coaching is more important
- Quality of cooperating teachers is random. Role of selecting mentors is critical
- Release time for mentors – jurisdictions cannot afford the release time
- Higher ed partnerships – use as teacher mentors
- Changing the ability to become a mentor—it should not be as easy
- Change master teacher role – shared role as part of university partnership. Look at different configurations that promote shared responsibility. Hybrid roles
- Do we know all of the PD available in the state and how much is overlapping, are all needs addressed, all areas covered—need to inventory. Send out a survey. What is the cost of running the programs—then bring people together to prioritize, eliminate overlap. Better way to tap into what the teachers need. (MSDE professional development office – CPD database – not all LEA’s—have a starting point. What offered that is not thru CPD—does not come thru MSDE. IHE’s also have offerings. LEA’s have offerings – need to be able to access. Where are the voids where we can partner with IHE’s—more course offerings)
- Department chairs – another resource because they already are trained as coaches.
- More of an on line credentialing process at state level – cross boundaries
- Micro-credentials – skills, application, classroom, research, reading courses
- LEA’s would benefit from micro-credentialing
- Directory of current offerings—how to start compiling info?
- Coppin – looking at cultural competency as first set of micro-credentialing
- IHE’s could look at instruction; if able, to look at inventory of what is available
- Make clear to districts that there is a customizable option – let LEA’s know that we can offer blueprints to follow (we are not trying to take over)
- Cost is a deterrent – incentives to keep the costs of good quality PD down – obstacles to current set up
- Time constraints if one commits to taking advanced classes – teachers do not have time
- Several principals have started the university model – opportunities within the school – menu options based upon one’s needs – credits for leading learning as well participating
- Need to take evaluative role out of mentorship.

Recommendation – combine 1 & 3 – RECOMMENDATION 1

Create statewide professional development pathways with career-wide learning opportunities for educators across the state.

Recommendation – combine 2 & 5 – RECOMMENDATION 2

Establish a school-university partnership process for building PD programs that link but are not limited to certification requirements for renewing.

- A. Establish shared responsibilities for induction and professional development programs that may include components such as mentoring and coaching, etc.
- B. Programs should incorporate a quality assurance framework that meets state and national guidelines such as National DPDS, Learning Forward Standards for Professional Learning, etc.

Materials of Interest Requests for next meeting:

- Digital Promise - micro credentialing (Heather)
https://bloomboard.com/microcredential/provider/ac2f23c8-274d-449d-ac3f-6ad29e399737#designing_and_facilitating_high_quality_professional_learning
- New Teacher Center – Santa Cruz – have research to share and assessments in place—rank re effectiveness of programs. Show direct impact of resources. P-20 partnership model. Look at states with the highest rankings, like Kentucky. (Heather)
<https://newteachercenter.org/resources/>
- Induction models (Laura/Dan)
- Local educator unions also providing PD – get their info (NCEA—one example) (Laura or HOLD until further conversation)
- PD language from ESSA—look at PD vs PL. (Heather)
ESSA: <https://learningforward.org/who-we-are/professional-learning-definition>
LF: <http://mediaportal.education.ky.gov/educator-effectiveness/2013/05/professional-development-vs-professional-learning/>
- Resource –Beyond PD-- Ben Jensen – report on career ladders – (Heather)
<http://ncee.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/BeyondPDDec2016.pdf>

Next Steps:

For further discussion at the next meeting:

- Research LEA's and see what they are doing in PD—determine which LEA's to focus upon
- Are there different staffing models that we can explore?
- Private industry looking at micro credentials – should IHE's develop?
- What are the PD plans for each teacher—how can a blueprint be developed for LEA's?
- Look at structures to see where there are areas and obstacles that need to be re-visited
- How to make recertification relevant—making more PD count toward re-certification—should any six credits be acceptable?
- Can reps from The New Teacher Center attend one of our meetings?